

Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences

Print (ISSN 2535-1796) / Online (ISSN 2535-180X)



Effect of Planting Dates and Some Growth Stimulants on Growth and Productivity of Chia Plant

Hassan, A.A., Abbas, Eman, G. and Taha, Ragaa, A.

Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Citation: Hassan AA, Abbas Eman G and Taha RA. (2024). Effect of Planting Dates and Some Growth Stimulants on Growth and Productivity of Chia Plant. Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 6 (2): 63-77. https://doi.org/10.21608/sjas.2 024.361141.

Publisher : Beni-Suef University, Faculty of Agriculture

Received: 21 / 4 / 2024 **Accepted:** 19 / 5 / 2024

Corresponding author: Ahmed Ali Hassan

Email: Ahmed_hassan@mu.edu.eg

This is an open access article licensed under



1. INTRODUCTION

Chia (*Salvia hispanica*, L.) is an annual herb native to Southern Mexico, and Northern Guatemala and belongs to the *Lamiaceae* family (Ixtaina *et al.*, 2008 and Capitani *et al.*, 2012). Chia seeds have a high nutrition value due to their high contents of fat, carbohydrates, dietary fibre, minerals, vitamins, and antioxidants (Reyes-Caudillo *et al.*, 2008 and Marineli *et al.*, 2015). So that, it has been consider as a part of human food for about 5500 years (Ayerza and Coates, 2011). Due to their hydrophilic properties, they are used in the food industry as

A field experiment was carried out during the two consecutive seasons 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 at the Nursery of ornamental plants, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized block design in a split plot arrangement with 3 replicates. Main plots including planting dates at 15th Oct., 1st Nov., and 15th Nov., while sub-plots including amino acids at 0, 1, 2 and 3 ml/l and seaweed extract at 1.5, 3 and 4.5 m/l, plus the control. In both seasons, plant height, branches number, herb dry weights, seed yield/plant and /fed. as well as fixed oil productivity and photosynthetic pigments were significantly affected with planting dates and growth stimulant substances applications. Also, there was a significant interaction between both main and sub-plots treatments, except herb dry weight in the first season and number of branches in the second seasons as well as chlorophyll b and carotenoids in both seasons. In general, the best interaction treatments were obtained during plants sown in the first date and sprayed with amino acids at 3 ml/l or seaweed extract at 1.5 ml/l.

KEYWORDS: Chia, planting date, amino acids and seaweed extract

an alternate to fat and eggs as a mucilage (Deka and Das, 2017 and Ding *et al.*, 2018) which is a rich source of polysaccharides, mainly cellulose, and thus can be used in the production of edible coating films (Muñoz *et al.*, 2012 and Segura-Campos *et al.*, 2014). In addition to that their great content of phenolic compounds have been scientifically proven to exhibit antioxidative functions (Guevara-Cruz *et al.*, 2012).

Planting date is a main factor affecting plant growth and productivity (Abbas *et al.*, 2019). That could be due to variations in climatic conditions such as humidity, photoperiod and temperature, which extremely vary with the seasons (Sacks *et al.*, 2010). In other geographical region planting dates exhibited a direct impact on the physiological potential of seed production of chia plant (Goergen *et al.*, 2018).

Stimulant substances are products whose properties improve the physiological and metabolic processes of plants (Mariani and Ferrante, 2017). Now a days the trend toward healthy agricultural products has been dramatically increased including using various biostimulant products (Povero et al., 2016). One of these products is amino acids (AAs) which are precursors and elements of proteins and other N compounds and can serve in some circumstances as a source of carbon and energy (Davies, 1982). Owing to especial AA structure they have several positive roles in plant development influencing the production of proteins, carbohydrates, enzymes, and gene expression (Rai, 2002 and El-Desouky et al., 2022). Seaweed extracts employed as nutrient supplements improving plant growth via a variety of physiological plant responses. Furthermore, they have been shown a significant use to improve plant tolerance to a wide range of abiotic stresses (Khan et al., 2009). The physiological response of many crops to SWE application has been extensively reviewed by

(Margal *et al.*, 2023). The aim of this study was to optimize the chia plants production via detecting the best planting date and applied some plant growth biostimulants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A complete randomized block design in a split plot arrangement included 3 replicates was carried out during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 at Ornamental Nursery, Faculty of Agric., Minia Univ. Seeds of chia plants were planted in clavey loamy soil which its physical and chemical properties shown in Table a (Jackson, 1973). The experiment included main plots (A) $(8.40 \times 3 \text{ m/plot})$ with 60 cm distance between the rows and 40 cm between the hills within the row (7 hills/row). The main plot included three different planting dates; 15th Oct., 1st Nov. and 15th Nov. In all cases seedlings were thinned twice, after 2 and 3 weeks from sowing, finally each hill contained 2 plants (each plot contains 196 plants, equal 32.536 plants per feddan). The sub-plot (B) was foliar spray of AAs at 1, 2, and 3 ml/l, and SWE at 1.5, 3 and 4.5 ml/l in addition to a control treatment which treated with tap water. Therefore, the interaction treatments $(A \times B)$ were 21 treatments. Each sub-plot treatment included 2 rows for each treatment.

Soil abore stor	Val	lues	Soil	Val	ues
Soil character	2021/2022	2022/2023	character	2021/2022	2022/2023
Physi	cal propertie	s:	Exchange	able nutrients:	
Sand (%)	28.59	28.92	Ca ⁺⁺ (mg/100 g)	31.43	31.45
Silt (%)	30.29	30.66	K ⁺ (mg/100 g)	2.45	2.46
Clay (%)	41.12	40.42	Na ⁺ (mg/100 g)	2.46	2.48
Soil type	Clay loam	Clay loam	Avail. P^+ (%)	15.40	15.44
Chem	ical propertie	es:	DTPA-Extra	actable nutrient	ts:
pH (1:2.5)	7.79	7.73	Fe (ppm)	8.39	8.31
E.C. (dS / m)	1.06	1.04	Cu (ppm)	2.04	2.03
O.M.	1.65	1.61	Zn (ppm)	2.81	2.91
CaCO ₃	2.10	2.13	Mn (ppm)	8.19	8.14
Total N (`%)	0.08	0.08			

Table a. Physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil in both seasons (2021/2022 and 2022/2023).

The commercial AAs; AMINOGEN that contains a mixture of free amino acids was obtained from Chema Ind. Com., Cairo, Egypt. However, SWE; Crop+TMPlus was obtained from Cytozyme Lab., Inc. USA. Plants were

foliar sprayed three times with AAs or SWE concentrations. The first treatment was commenced after 1 month of planting, then every 3-week intervals.

The three photosynthetic pigments chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoids contents (mg/g FW) were determined using sample of 0.5 g of the fresh leaves three two weeks of the 3^{rd} foliar application according to (Moran, 1982).

The harvest was performed at physiological maturity when about 75% of the plants were completely senescent. That was performed on 15th Apr. for 1st date, on 23rd Apr. for 2nd date, and on 9th May for 3rd date. Plants were cut just above soil surface then plant height, branches number/plant and herb dry weight were estimated. Seeds were separated to estimate seed yield/plant and /fed. Fixed oil productivity (percentage, per plant and per fed.) were determined according to the methods of (AOAC, 2000). The obtained data were tabulated and subjected to proper statistical and analysis according to Mead et al, (1993) using the statistical program MSTAT-C (1986) and the L.S.D. test at 5% was followed to compare between the means.

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

3.1.Vegetative growth characters

Data listed in Tables (1, 2 and 3) showed that plant height, branches number and herb dry weights/plant of chia plants were significantly affected due to planting dates. The highest values of plant height, branches number and dry weight of herb were obtained from the first planting date (15th Oct.), followed by 2nd date (1st Nov.) and then 3rd date (15th Nov.) which gave the lowest values in both seasons.

Similarly, Karim *et al.* (2016), Goergen *et al.* (2019) and da Silva *et al.* (2020) on chia plant.

Concerning the growth stimulant substances applications (AAs and SWE), it can be concluded that all tested treatments led to significant improvement of plant height, branches number and dry weights of chia compared with check treatment as shown in Tables (1, 2 and 3). The most effective treatment which produced the tallest plants, highest branches number and the heaviest dry weight was the treatment of AAs at 3 ml/l. Similar trend was found in the second growing season.

	ites and some growth stimulant substances	s on the plant height (cm)
of chia seeds during	g 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.	
Crowth stimulant	Planting dates (Λ)	Moon

Growth stimulant		Planting dates (A		Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	st season (2021/20	22)	
Control	125.97	116.94	108.85	117.25
AAs 1.0 ml/l	127.11	129.57	121.22	125.97
AAs 2.0 ml/l	130.57	130.74	123.95	128.42
AAs 3.0 ml/l	131.36	132.60	128.71	130.89
SWE 1.5 ml/l	130.99	121.72	112.33	121.68
SWE 3.0 ml/l	127.88	119.86	111.36	119.70
SWE 4.5 ml/l	126.33	117.03	108.96	117.44
Mean (A)	128.60	124.07	116.48	
LSD at 5%	A: 3.33	B: 3.00	AB: 5.19	
	Seco	ond season (2022/	2023)	
Control	121.90	118.76	105.46	115.37
AAs 1.0 ml/l	127.87	123.63	124.25	125.25
AAs 2.0 ml/l	130.40	126.48	130.12	129.00
AAs 3.0 ml/l	131.34	128.39	131.08	130.27
SWE 1.5 ml/l	127.27	126.33	113.35	122.32
SWE 3.0 ml/l	124.81	124.99	112.42	120.74
SWE 4.5 ml/l	123.12	119.55	109.19	117.29
Mean (A)	126.67	124.02	117.98	
LSD at 5%	A: 6.27	B: 3.06	AB: 5.29	

Growth stimulant	.,	Planting dates (A	and 2022/2023 seas	Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	t season (2021/202	22)	
Control	16.5	13.8	11.7	14.0
AAs 1.0 ml/l	18.5	21.2	13.7	17.8
AAs 2.0 ml/l	19.2	19.0	14.6	17.6
AAs 3.0 ml/l	19.7	18.9	16.0	18.2
SWE 1.5 ml/l	18.2	17.4	13.1	16.3
SWE 3.0 ml/l	17.5	16.3	12.4	15.4
SWE 4.5 ml/l	16.7	15.9	11.8	14.8
Mean (A)	18.1	17.5	13.3	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.9	B: 1.1	AB: 2.0	
	Seco	nd season (2022/2	.023)	
Control	16.9	15.9	12.0	14.9
AAs 1.0 ml/l	18.2	17.5	15.7	17.2
AAs 2.0 ml/l	20.9	19.2	16.4	18.8
AAs 3.0 ml/l	22.8	21.1	17.1	20.3
SWE 1.5 ml/l	19.4	18.3	14.2	17.3
SWE 3.0 ml/l	18.1	17.6	13.8	16.5
SWE 4.5 ml/l	17.4	16.6	13.2	15.7
Mean (A)	19.1	18.0	14.6	
LSD at 5%	A: 1.3	B: 1.1	AB: NS	

 Table 2. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the number of branches/plant) of chia seeds during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.

Table 3. Effect of planting dates and	l some growt	th stimulant substances	on the dry weight
(g/plant) of chia seeds during	2021/2022 ar	nd 2022/2023 seasons.	

Growth stimulant	0	Planting dates (A	()	Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Fir	st season (2021/202	22)	
Control	50.74	42.12	20.50	37.79
AAs 1.0 ml/l	59.22	54.42	31.64	48.43
AAs 2.0 ml/l	63.02	56.61	38.04	52.56
AAs 3.0 ml/l	74.18	65.05	45.95	61.73
SWE 1.5 ml/l	65.54	62.17	44.56	57.42
SWE 3.0 ml/l	60.33	54.75	36.65	50.58
SWE 4.5 ml/l	55.54	49.44	31.33	45.44
Mean (A)	61.22	54.94	35.53	
LSD at 5%	A: 4.06	B: 3.80	AB: NS	
	Sec	ond season (2022/2	2023)	
Control	43.96	43.67	28.98	38.87
AAs 1.0 ml/l	54.45	50.84	36.55	47.28
AAs 2.0 ml/l	59.95	54.87	38.62	51.15
AAs 3.0 ml/l	67.13	62.30	42.88	57.44
SWE 1.5 ml/l	66.83	63.06	37.38	55.76
SWE 3.0 ml/l	64.37	61.34	35.72	53.81
SWE 4.5 ml/l	60.35	56.19	33.24	49.93
Mean (A)	59.58	56.04	36.20	
LSD at 5%	A: 3.93	B: 2.31	AB: 4.00	

In agreement withs these results were the findings of El- Sayed *et al.* (2007), Ali and Sallam (2022) on caraway, Mostafa (2015) on fennel, Tarraf *et al.* (2015) on fenugreek and Ayyat *et al.* (2021) on *Nigella sativa* for AAs, as well as, El-Desouky *et al.* (2022) on chia, Tarraf *et al.* (2015), Mafakheri and Asghari (2018) and Dehkordi *et al.* (2021) on fenugreek, Hassan *et al.* (2022) and Ali *et al.* (2023) on fennel in regard to SWE.

The interaction between planting dates and growth stimulant substances applications for plant height, branches number and dry weight was significant in the two experimental seasons, except herb dry weight in the first season and number of branches in the second seasons as shown in Tables (1, 2 and 3). The most effective interaction treatment which produced the tallest plants, highest mean branches number and the heaviest herb dry weight/plant in both seasons was for chia planted on 15th Oct. and sprayed with 3 ml/l of AAs.

3.2. Seed yield /plant and /fed

It is clear from recorded data in Tables (4 and 5) that seed yield/plant and /fed. of chia plants were significantly affected by planting dates in the first and second seasons. In both seasons, the highest seed yields were for chia which planted on the earliest date (15th Oct.). But the lowest ones were for the last planting date (15th Nov.).

The earliest planting date have a positive effect on seed production as pointed out with Karim *et al.* (2016), Baginsky *et al.* (2016) and Rasha *et al.*, (2020) on chia.

Regarding the influence of stimulant substances treatments on seed yields (/plant and /fed.) of chia, data shown in Tables (4 and 5) proved that all used treatments significantly promoted the yield of seeds/plant and /fed. comparing with untreated plants in the two experimental seasons. The highest values of seed yield (per plant and per feddan) were recorded from plants received the treatment of SWE at 1.5 ml. Similar trend was obtained in second growing season.

Growth stimulant		Planting dates (A	()	Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	t season (2021/202	22)	
Control	12.72	11.51	5.84	10.02
AAs 1.0 ml/l	13.63	12.72	6.99	11.11
AAs 2.0 ml/l	14.94	13.08	7.39	11.80
AAs 3.0 ml/l	16.03	13.41	8.44	12.63
SWE 1.5 ml/l	20.02	14.11	7.74	13.96
SWE 3.0 ml/l	17.26	13.06	7.58	12.63
SWE 4.5 ml/l	14.94	12.64	7.06	11.55
Mean (A)	15.65	12.93	7.29	
LSD at 5%	A:1.11	B:0.95	AB:1.65	
	Seco	nd season (2022/2	2023)	
Control	10.10	9.42	7.14	8.89
AAs 1.0 ml/l	10.70	10.25	8.11	9.69
AAs 2.0 ml/l	13.23	12.18	8.54	11.32
AAs 3.0 ml/l	17.39	15.44	8.97	13.93
SWE 1.5 ml/l	19.74	18.04	10.09	15.96
SWE 3.0 ml/l	17.61	15.58	9.20	14.13
SWE 4.5 ml/l	15.75	14.30	8.83	12.96
Mean (A)	14.93	13.60	8.70	
LSD at 5%	A:0.58	B:0.92	AB:1.60	

Table 4. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the seed yield/plant(g) of chia seeds during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.

Growth stimulant		Planting dates (A		Mean
Treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	st season (2021/202	22)	
Control	413.86	374.49	190.01	326.12
AAs 1.0 ml/l	443.47	413.86	227.43	361.58
AAs 2.0 ml/l	486.09	425.57	240.44	384.03
AAs 3.0 ml/l	521.55	436.31	274.60	410.82
SWE 1.5 ml/l	651.37	459.08	251.83	454.09
SWE 3.0 ml/l	561.57	424.92	246.62	411.04
SWE 4.5 ml/l	486.09	411.26	229.70	375.68
Mean (A)	509.14	420.78	237.23	
LSD at 5%	A: 35.44	B: 30.50	AB: 52.83	
	Seco	ond season (2022/2	2023)	
Control	328.61	306.49	232.31	289.14
AAs 1.0 ml/l	348.14	333.49	263.87	315.17
AAs 2.0 ml/l	430.45	396.29	277.86	368.20
AAs 3.0 ml/l	565.80	502.36	291.85	453.33
SWE 1.5 ml/l	642.26	586.95	328.29	519.17
SWE 3.0 ml/l	572.96	506.91	299.33	459.73
SWE 4.5 ml/l	512.44	465.26	287.29	421.67
Mean (A)	485.81	442.54	282.97	
LSD at 5%	A: 18.60	B: 29.58	AB: 51.23	

Table 5. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the seed yield/fed.	,
(kg) of chia seeds during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.	_

In accordance with the obtained results were those of Hendawy and Ezz El-Din (2010) on fennel, Rezakhani and Hadi (2017) and Abd-Allah *et al.* (2021) on coriander and Aly *et al.* (2022) on anise insured our results on AAS, as well as, El-Desouky *et al.* (2022) on chia, Tursun (2022) on coriander and Rahgoshahi *et al.* (2023) on cumin for SWE.

The interaction between main and sub-plots treatments was significant in the two growing seasons for seed yield (/plant and /fed.). In this concern, the highest values of seed yield/plant and /fed. were produced from chia which planted on 15th Oct. and treated with 1.5 ml/l of SWE.

3.3. Fixed oil production

In fact, results showed significant effect of planting date on fixed oil production, [percentage, yield per plant (ml) and per fed. (l)] of chia plant seeds in the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} seasons (Tables 6, 7 and 8). Generally, delaying planting date from middle October to middle November decreased oil% and yields (plant and fed.). So, the highest oil percentage in the seeds (35.90% in the 1^{st} season and 36.10% in the 2^{nd} one), were

recorded for plants which were sown on 15th Oct. Planting chia on 15th Nov. had significantly the minimum percentage (27.95 and 33.14%) in the first and second seasons, respectively. The difference among the first and second planting dates was not significantly differed in both seasons. Similarly, Rasha *et al.*, (2020) on chia plant and Shamsi *et al.* (2012) on oilseed rape.

In regard to growth stimulant substances applications, all used treatments proved to be effective in augmenting fixed oil% by significant increases over those of control plants in the two growing seasons as clearly shown in (Tables 6, 7 and 8). The lowest oil% in both seasons were recorded for control plants (30.97 and 32.39% respectively). On the other had the highest oil% (35.49 and 37.03%) were for plants treated with the high concentration of SWE (4.5 ml/l). However, the highest values of fixed oil yield per plant and per feddan were achieved with the low level of SWE (1.5 ml/l).

Many investigators explored the beneficial influence of SWE on oil productivity for examples El-Desouky *et al.* (2022) on chia and Sary et al. (2020) on soybean.

Growth stimulant		Planting dates (A		Mean
Treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	t season (2021/202	22)	
Control	33.78	35.18	23.93	30.97
AAs 1.0 ml/l	34.27	35.67	26.82	32.25
AAs 2.0 ml/l	34.57	35.75	27.98	32.77
AAs 3.0 ml/l	35.53	36.10	27.95	33.19
SWE 1.5 ml/l	36.92	35.33	29.03	33.76
SWE 3.0 ml/l	37.42	35.90	29.23	34.18
SWE 4.5 ml/l	38.78	36.97	30.73	35.49
Mean (A)	35.90	35.84	27.95	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.83	B: 0.50	AB: 1.44	
	Seco	nd season (2022/2	.023)	
Control	34.87	33.45	28.87	32.39
AAs 1.0 ml/l	35.77	35.28	31.92	34.32
AAs 2.0 ml/l	35.98	35.90	33.02	34.97
AAs 3.0 ml/l	36.32	36.33	33.48	35.38
SWE 1.5 ml/l	35.45	33.63	34.02	34.37
SWE 3.0 ml/l	35.67	36.83	35.15	35.88
SWE 4.5 ml/l	38.68	36.90	35.52	37.03
Mean (A)	36.10	35.48	33.14	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.83	B: 0.76	AB: 1.31	

Table 6. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on fixed oil percentage(%) of chia seeds during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.

Table 7. Effect of planting dates and so	ome growth stimulant substance	es on fixed oil yield/plant
(ml) of chia seeds during 2021	/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.	

Growth stimulant		Planting dates (A	()	Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	st season (2021/202	22)	
Control	4.29	4.05	1.40	3.25
AAs 1.0 ml/l	4.68	4.54	1.88	3.70
AAs 2.0 ml/l	5.16	4.67	2.07	3.97
AAs 3.0 ml/l	5.69	4.84	2.36	4.30
SWE 1.5 ml/l	7.39	4.98	2.25	4.87
SWE 3.0 ml/l	6.46	4.68	2.22	4.45
SWE 4.5 ml/l	5.80	4.68	2.17	4.21
Mean (A)	5.64	4.63	2.05	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.35	B: 0.33	AB: 0.57	
	Seco	ond season (2022/2	2023)	
Control	3.52	3.15	2.06	2.91
AAs 1.0 ml/l	3.83	3.62	2.58	3.35
AAs 2.0 ml/l	4.76	4.37	2.82	3.98
AAs 3.0 ml/l	6.31	5.60	2.99	4.97
SWE 1.5 ml/l	7.00	6.06	3.43	5.50
SWE 3.0 ml/l	6.28	5.74	3.23	5.09
SWE 4.5 ml/l	6.09	5.28	3.13	4.83
Mean (A)	5.40	4.83	2.89	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.22	B: 0.34	AB: 0.59	

Growth stimulant	Planting dates (A)			Mean		
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)		
First season (2021/2022)						
Control	139.51	131.66	45.50	105.56		
AAs 1.0 ml/l	152.15	147.75	61.09	120.33		
AAs 2.0 ml/l	167.78	152.08	67.36	129.07		
AAs 3.0 ml/l	185.27	157.48	76.76	139.84		
SWE 1.5 ml/l	240.55	162.08	73.08	158.57		
SWE 3.0 ml/l	210.07	152.30	72.11	144.83		
SWE 4.5 ml/l	188.68	152.04	70.53	137.08		
Mean (A)	183.43	150.77	66.63			
LSD at 5%	A: 11.21	B: 10.78	AB: 18.68			
	Seco	ond season (2022/2	2023)			
Control	114.54	102.40	67.05	94.66		
AAs 1.0 ml/l	124.64	117.72	84.06	108.81		
AAs 2.0 ml/l	154.78	142.24	91.75	129.59		
AAs 3.0 ml/l	205.50	182.29	97.24	161.67		
SWE 1.5 ml/l	227.69	197.29	111.56	178.85		
SWE 3.0 ml/l	204.39	186.80	105.14	165.44		
SWE 4.5 ml/l	198.31	171.79	101.77	157.29		
Mean (A)	175.69	157.22	94.08			
LSD at 5%	A: 7.02	B: 11.01	AB: 19.07			

Table 8. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on fixed oil yield/fed. (l)of chia seeds during 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 seasons.

analysis The statistical represents significant interaction impact between the main and sub-plots treatments in both seasons for fixed oil%, fixed oil yield per plant and per fed. Tables (6, 7 and 8). The highest fixed oil% (38.78 and 38.68% in the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} seasons, respectively) was recorded with plants sown in 15th Oct. and sprayed with SWE at 4.5 ml/l. However, the highest fixed oil yield per plant and per feddan (7.39 and 7.0 ml/plant and 240.55 and 227.69 l/fed. in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively) were obtained with plants sown in 15th Oct. and spraved with SWE at 1.5 ml/l.

3.4. Photosynthetic pigments

Data shown in Tables (9, 10 and 11) revealed that planting dates significantly affected the content of the three photosynthetic pigments i.e. chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids in the fresh leaves of chia plants in the two growing seasons). Results showed that the chia which planted on 15th Oct. had the highest chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents (3.053, 1.085, and 1.114 mg/g FW, respectively) in the first season

and (3.056, 1.084, and 1.115 mg/g FW, respectively) in the second season.

The above-mentioned results were on the line with those of Abdou and El-Sayed (2002) on caraway for planting dates.

It is evident from the obtained data that the three photosynthetic pigments content in the fresh leaves of chia plants were significantly promoted due to spraying the plants with AAs and SWE at all examined concentrations comparing with check treatment in the two growing seasons. Among the tested treatments, the chia plants treated with AAs at 3 ml/l was more effective than other treatments which produced the highest contents of such three pigments in both growing seasons (Tables 9, 10 and 11).

The above-mentioned results were on the line with those of Abd El-Aal and Eid (2018) on soybean, Abd El-Satar (2020) on *Anethum graveolens* and Sowmya *et al.* (2023) on coriander for AAs, as well as, El-Desouky *et al.* (2022) on chia, Al-Hatem (2018) on coriander and Mafakheri and Asghari (2018) and Sujatha *et al.* (2021) on fenugreek for SWE.

Growth stimulant	Planting dates (A)			Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	st season (2021/202	22)	
Control	3.020	2.952	2.876	2.949
AAs 1.0 ml/l	3.045	3.028	3.024	3.032
AAs 2.0 ml/l	3.080	3.072	3.052	3.068
AAs 3.0 ml/l	3.097	3.097	3.100	3.098
SWE 1.5 ml/l	3.023	3.000	3.016	3.013
SWE 3.0 ml/l	3.042	3.037	3.029	3.036
SWE 4.5 ml/l	3.061	3.058	3.056	3.058
Mean (A)	3.053	3.035	3.022	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.027	B: 0.030	AB: 0.052	
	Sec	ond season (2022/2	2023)	
Control	3.023	2.957	2.878	2.953
AAs 1.0 ml/l	3.048	3.032	3.027	3.036
AAs 2.0 ml/l	3.084	3.076	3.054	3.071
AAs 3.0 ml/l	3.100	3.101	3.102	3.101
SWE 1.5 ml/l	3.026	3.003	3.019	3.016
SWE 3.0 ml/l	3.046	3.041	3.031	3.039
SWE 4.5 ml/l	3.064	3.062	3.058	3.062
Mean (A)	3.056	3.039	3.024	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.027	B: 0.032	AB: 0.056	

Table 9. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the chlorophyll a (mg/g FW) of chia plants during two seasons

Table 10. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the chlorophyll	b
(mg/g FW) of chia plants during two seasons	

Growth stimulant	Planting dates (A)			Mean
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)
	Firs	st season (2021/202	2)	
Control	1.077	1.060	1.044	1.060
AAs 1.0 ml/l	1.060	1.071	1.067	1.066
AAs 2.0 ml/l	1.093	1.081	1.077	1.083
AAs 3.0 ml/l	1.103	1.092	1.096	1.097
SWE 1.5 ml/l	1.078	1.058	1.058	1.065
SWE 3.0 ml/l	1.089	1.073	1.054	1.072
SWE 4.5 ml/l	1.098	1.080	1.070	1.083
Mean (A)	1.085	1.074	1.067	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.038	B: 0.026	AB: NS	
	Seco	ond season (2022/20	023)	
Control	1.073	1.057	1.057	1.062
AAs 1.0 ml/l	1.082	1.068	1.066	1.072
AAs 2.0 ml/l	1.088	1.077	1.076	1.080
AAs 3.0 ml/l	1.098	1.089	1.095	1.094
SWE 1.5 ml/l	1.073	1.054	1.043	1.057
SWE 3.0 ml/l	1.084	1.070	1.052	1.069
SWE 4.5 ml/l	1.093	1.077	1.069	1.079
Mean (A)	1.084	1.070	1.065	
LSD at 5%	A: 0.038	B: 0.022	AB: NS	

Growth stimulant	Planting dates (A)			Mean		
treatments	15 th Oct.	1 st Nov.	15 th Nov.	(B)		
First season (2021/2022)						
Control	1.103	1.087	1.073	1.088		
AAs 1.0 ml/l	1.112	1.098	1.096	1.102		
AAs 2.0 ml/l	1.118	1.107	1.106	1.110		
AAs 3.0 ml/l	1.128	1.119	1.125	1.124		
SWE 1.5 ml/l	1.103	1.084	1.082	1.090		
SWE 3.0 ml/l	1.114	1.100	1.087	1.100		
SWE 4.5 ml/l	1.123	1.107	1.099	1.109		
Mean (A)	1.114	1.100	1.095			
LSD at 5%	A: 0.038	B: 0.031	AB: NS			
	Seco	nd season (2022/2	023)			
Control	1.104	1.091	1.089	1.095		
AAs 1.0 ml/l	1.113	1.105	1.097	1.105		
AAs 2.0 ml/l	1.119	1.111	1.109	1.113		
AAs 3.0 ml/l	1.129	1.123	1.126	1.126		
SWE 1.5 ml/l	1.104	1.088	1.075	1.089		
SWE 3.0 ml/l	1.115	1.104	1.084	1.101		
SWE 4.5 ml/l	1.124	1.111	1.101	1.112		
Mean (A)	1.115	1.105	1.097			
LSD at 5%	A: 0.039	B: 0.033	AB: NS			

 Table 11. Effect of planting dates and some growth stimulant substances on the carotenoids (mg/g FW) of chia plants during two seasons

There was a significant interaction between planting dates and growth stimulant substances applications only on case of chlorophyll a (Table 9) with similar response on both seasons. The highest chlorophyll a content was estimated for the chia which planted on 15th Oct. and treated with 3 ml/l of AAs, respectively.

To discuss and explain the variation of planting dates in improving different vegetative growth characters, seed yield, oil production and Photosynthetic pigments, chia considers as a short-day plant which meaning that early planting date insure extending the vegetative growth phase then the reproductive phase does not expose to low temperature (more than tolerance threshold) compared with the late planting date. Photoperiod, as well as with temperature, are the main environmental factors determining phenology, and vield in photoperiod-sensitive plants (Caliskan et al., 2008). Herein, late plantings could delay and decrease seedling emergence as the soil get cold (Egli and Cornelius, 2009 and Lee et al., 2008).

Surly many stresses such as unfavorable planting date could disturb the homeostasis phenomena between source and sink in plants (Lemoine *et al.*, 2013 and Xu *et al.*, 2022). Our findings of the recent research confirm that the inappropriate planting dates could cause abiotic stress on chia plants which negatively affect the metabolic processes, consequently seed yield and oil production. The current study proved that planting on 15th Oct. is the best investigated one for cultivating chia plant in Minia Governorate, as growth, seed parameters, and oil production had higher values than the other ones 1st Nov. and 15th Nov. (Aluko *et al.*, 2021).

The relationship between plant N utilization, and concentrations AAs have been suggested by (Liu and lee, 2012). They proposed that the chief role of AAs on crop growth might be linked to the regulation of nitrate uptake and assimilation, but not as sources of reduced N. Amino acids treatment might affect nitrate reductase enzyme that occupying a control signal in the pathway of nitrate assimilation.

Recent study displayed a distinct effect of SWE are widely used in various horticultural crops to promote their growth and development due to their content of complex polysaccharides, fatty acids, vitamins, plant hormones, and mineral nutrients (Ahmed *et al.*, 2017 and Begum *et al.*, 2018).

4. REFERENCES

- Abbas G, Younis H, Naz S, Fatima Z, Hussain S, Ahmed M and Ahmad S (2019). Effect of planting dates on agronomic crop production. Agronomic Crops: Production Technologies, 1: 131-147.
- Abd El-Aal MMM and Eid RSM (2018). Effect of foliar spray with lithovit and amino acids on growth, bioconstituents, anatomical and yield features of soybean plant. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 56(4th ICBAA): 187-202.
- Abd El-Satar SA (2020). Effect of spraying with active yeast, humic acid and some amino acids on the growth and volatile oil content of *Anethum graveolens* L. plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt.
- Abd-Allah WHA, Khatar RMR and El-Shafay RMM (2021). Effect of spraying with extracts of plants and amino acids on growth and productivity on *Coriandrum sativum* plants under Shalateen condition. Plant archives, 21: 300-307.
- Abdou MA and El-Sayed AA (2002). Effect of planting date and biofertilization treatments on growth and yield characters of caraway crop (*Carum carvi* L.). In Proc. 2nd Inter. Conf. Hort. Sci., Kafr El-Sheikh. Tanta Univ., Egypt. pp. 423-433.
- Ahmed ABA, Adel M, Talati A, Kumar MS, Abdulrahim K and Abdulhameed MM (2017). Seaweed polysaccharides and their production and applications. In Seaweed Polysaccharides. Elsevier, pp. 369-382.
- Al-Hatem GYQ (2018). Effect of nitrogenic fertilizer and seaweed extract (fitoalg) in some green growth and total yield on the plant coriander, *Coriandrum sativum* L. Journal Tikrit University for Agriculture Science, 18: 72-82.
- Ali HM and Sallam DAHM (2022). Inducing the growth and flowering of caraway (*Carum carvi* L.) plant. Journal of

Applied Biology and Biotechnology, 10: 86-91.

- Ali NS, Gad MM and Abdul-Hafeez EY (2023). Evaluating the efficiency of organic manures and seaweed extract on the improvement of growth and productivity of *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. plants. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54: 91-107.
- Aluko OO, Li C, Wang Q and Liu H (2021). Sucrose utilization for improved crop yields: A review article. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22, p. 4704.
- Aly MKA, Ahmed ET, Mohamed MAH and Kasem MTH (2022). Response of anise plants to humic acid, amino acids and thiamine treatments. Scientific Journal of Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 9: 153-165.
- AOAC (2000). Official Methods of Analysis, 14th Ed. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C., USA. 1150 p.
- Ayerza R and Coates W (2011). Protein content, oil content and fatty acid profiles as potential criteria to determine the origin of commercially grown chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). Industrial Crops and Products, 34: 1366–1371.
- Ayyat AM, Kenawy AGM, Aboel-Ainin MA and Abdel-Mola MAM (2021). Improving growth, productivity and oil yield of *Nigella sativa*, L. plants by foliar spraying with some stimulants. Journal of Plant Production, 12: 339-344.
- Baginsky C, Arenas J, Escobar H, Garrido M, Valero N, Tello D, Pizarro L, Valenzuela A, Morales L and Silva H (2016). Growth and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in the Mediterranean and desert climates of Chile. Chilean journal of agricultural research, 76: 255-264.
- Begum M, Bordoloi BC, Singha DD and Ojha NJ (2018). Role of seaweed extract on growth, yield and quality of some agricultural crops: A review. Agricultural Reviews, 39: 321-326.
- Caliskan S, Caliskan ME, Arslan M and Arioglu H (2008). Effects of sowing date and growth duration on growth and

yield of groundnut in a Mediterraneantype environment in Turkey. Field Crops Research, 105: 131-140.

- Capitani MI, Spotorno V, Nolasco SM and Tomás MC (2012). Physicochemical and functional characterization of byproducts from chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seeds of Argentina. LWT-Food Science and Technology, 45: 94-102.
- da Silva TRB, de Melo SC, Nascimento AB, Ambrosano L, Bordin JC, Alves CZ, Secco D, Santos RF, Gonçalves-Jr AC and da Silva GD (2020). Response of chia (*Salvia hispanica*) to sowing times and phosphorus rates over two crop cycles. Heliyon, 6: 1-8.
- **Davies DD** (1982). Physiological aspects off protein tumour. Encycl. Plant Physiol. New series (Nucleic Acids and Proteins, Structure, Biochemistry and Physiology of Proteins). Springer verla, Berlin, New York, p. 190-228.
- Dehkordi RA, Roghani SR, Mafakheri S and Asghari B (2021). Effect of biostimulants on morpho-physiological traits of various ecotypes of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) under water deficit stress. Scientia horticulturae, 283: 1-12.
- Deka R and Das A (2017). Advances in chia seed research. Advances Biotechnology Micro, 5: 64-66.
- Ding Y, Lin HW, Lin YL, Yang DJ, Yu YS, Chen JW and Chen YC (2018). Nutritional composition in the chia seed and its processing properties on restructured ham-like products. Journal of food and drug analysis, 26: 124-134.
- Egli DB and Cornelius PL (2009). A regional analysis of the response of soybean yield to planting date. Agronomy journal, 101: 330-335.
- El- Sayed AA, EL-Leithy AS, El-Shorbagy MS and Ali SA (2007). Effect of zinc and amino acids on growth, yield and chemical constituents of caraway (*Carum carvi*, L.) plants. Journal of Productivity and Development, 12: 347-366.
- El-Desouky HS, Zewail RM, Selim DAFH, Baakdah MM, Johari DM, Elhakem A and Yousry MY (2022). Bio-growth

stimulants impact seed yield products and oil composition of chia. Agronomy, 12, p.2633.

- Goergen PCH, Lago I, Durigon A, Roth GFM, Scheffel LG and Slim T (2019). Performance of chia on different sowing dates: characteristics of growth rate, leaf area index, shoot dry matter partitioning and grain yield. Journal of Agricultural Science, 11, p. 252.
- Goergen PCH, Nunes UR, Stefanello R, Lago I, Nunes AR and Durigon A (2018). Yield and physical and physiological quality of *Salvia hispanica* L. seeds grown at different sowing dates. Journal of Agricultural Science, 10: 182-191.
- Guevara-Cruz M, Tovar AR, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Medina-Vera I, Gil-Zenteno L, Hernández-Viveros I, Patricia L, Guillermo O, Samuel C, Luz EG and Torres N (2012). A dietary pattern including nopal, chia seed, soy protein, and oat reduces serum triglycerides and glucose intolerance in patients with metabolic syndrome. The Journal of nutrition, 142: 64-69.
- Hassan RH, El-Said NA and El-Sayed AB (2022). Effect of algae extracts on growth, yield, and essential oil of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) plant. Scientific Journal of Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 9: 363-372.
- Hendawy SF and Ezz El-Din AA (2010). Growth and yield of *Foeniculum vulgare* var. azoricum as influenced by some vitamins and amino acids. Ozean Journal of Applied Sciences, 3: 113-123.
- Ixtaina VY, Nolasco SM and Tomás MC (2008). Physical properties of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seeds. Industrial crops and products, 28: 286-293.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil chemical analysis Englewood cliffs, New Prentice. Hall INC., New York.
- Karim MM, Ashrafuzzaman MD and Hossain MA (2016). Effect of planting time on the growth and yield of chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, 1: 502-507.
- Khan W, Rayirath UP, Subramanian S, Jithesh MN, Rayorath P, Hodges DM

and Prithiviraj B (2009). Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. Journal of plant growth regulation, 28: 386-399.

- Lee CD, Egli DB and TeKrony DM (2008). Soybean response to plant population at early and late planting dates in the Mid-South. Agronomy Journal, 100: 971-976.
- Lemoine R, Camera SL, Atanassova R, Dédaldéchamp F, Allario T, Pourtau N and Durand M (2013). Source-tosink transport of sugar and regulation by environmental factors. Frontiers in plant science, 4, p. 272.
- Liu XQ and Lee KS (2012). Effect of mixed amino acids on crop growth. Agricultural science, 1: 119-158.
- Mafakheri S and Asghari B (2018). Effect of Seaweed Extract, Humic Acid and Chemical Fertilizers on Morphological, Physiological and Biochemical Characteristics of *Trigonella foenum*graecum L. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 20: 1505-1516.
- Margal PB, Thakare RS, Kamble BM, Patil VS, Patil KB and Titirmare NS (2023). Effect of Seaweed Extracts on Crop Growth and Soil: A Review. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, 45: 9-19.
- Mariani L and Ferrante A (2017). Agronomic management for enhancing plant tolerance to abiotic stresses—drought, salinity, hypoxia, and lodging. Horticulturae, 3, p. 52.
- Marineli R da S, Lenquiste SA, Moraes ÉA and Maróstica MR (2015). Antioxidant potential of dietary chia seed and oil (*Salvia hispanica* L.) in diet-induced obese rats. Food Research International, 76: 666-674.
- Mead R, Currow RN and Harted AM (1993). Statistical methods in agricultural and experimented biology and 2nd (Ed.) Chapman and Hall London, UK. pp. 472.
- Moran R (1982). Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with

N, N-dimethylformamide. Plant physiology, 69: 1376-1381.

- Mostafa GG (2015). Improving the growth of fennel plant grown under salinity stress using some biostimulants. American Journal of Plant Physiology, 10: 77-83.
- Mstat-c (1986). Amicrocomputer program for the design, management and analysis of agronomic research experiments (version 4), Michigan State Univ., USA.
- Muñoz LA, Cobos A, Diaz O and Aguilera JM (2012). Chia seeds: Microstructure, mucilage extraction and hydration. Journal of food Engineering, 108: 216-224.
- Povero G, Mejia JF, Di Tommaso D, Piaggesi A and Warrior P (2016). A systematic approach to discover and characterize natural plant biostimulants. Frontiers in plant science, 7, p. 435.
- Rahgoshahi M, Laghari KPK, Rahimi MM, Kelidari A and Keshavarzi K (2023). Physiological enhancement of seed yield and essential oil yield in cumin under drought stress through humic acid and seaweed extract. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology, 70, p. 147.
- **Rai VK (2002).** Role of amino acids in plant responses to stresses. Biologia plantarum, 45: 481-487.
- Rasha S, El-Sheshtawy AA and Ali HE (2020). Phenology, architecture, yield and fatty acid content of chia in response to sowing date and plant spacing. Fayoum Journal of Agricultural Research and Development, 34: 314-331.
- Reyes-Caudillo E, Tecante A and Valdivia-Lopez MA (2008). Dietary fibre content and antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds present in Mexican chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seeds. Food chemistry, 107: 656-663.
- **Rezakhani A and Hadi MRHS (2017).** Effect of manure and foliar application of amino acids on growth characteristics, seed yield and essential oil of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Iranian Journal of Field Crop Science, 48: 777-786.
- Sacks WJ, Deryng D, Foley JA and Ramankutty N (2010). Crop planting

dates: an analysis of global patterns. Global ecology and biogeography, 19: 607-620.

- Sary DH, El-Nwehy SS and Mokhtar AMA (2020). Effect of algae extract foliar application on yield and quality traits of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) grown on calcareous soil under irrigation water regime. Plant Archives (09725210), 20: 2417-2430.
- Segura-Campos MR, Ciau-Solís N, Rosado-Rubio G, Chel-Guerrero L and Betancur-Ancona D (2014). Chemical and functional properties of chia seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.) gum. International Journal of Food Science, 2014: 1-5.
- Shamsi M, Rameeh V, Abtali Y and Yasari E (2012). Study of the effects of planting date on the phonological and morphological features, the seed yield, and the components of the yield of oilseed rape. International Journal of Biology, 4: 49-56.
- Sowmya RS, Warke VG, Mahajan GB and Annapure US (2023). Effect of amino acids on growth, elemental content, functional groups, and essential oils

composition on hydroponically cultivated coriander under different conditions. Industrial Crops and Products, 197:1-10.

- Sujatha M, Pradeepa V and Mahalakshmi A (2021). Influence of seaweed liquid fertilizer on the growth of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* L. Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences, 10: 484-491.
- Tarraf SA, Talaat IM, El-Sayed AEKB and Balbaa LK (2015). Influence of foliar application of algae extract and amino acids mixture on fenugreek plants in sandy and clay soils. Nusantara Bioscience, 7: 33-37.
- **Tursun AO (2022).** Effect of foliar application of seaweed (organic fertilizer) on yield, essential oil and chemical composition of coriander. Plos one, 17: 1-14.
- Xu H, Hassan MA, Sun D, Wu Z, Jiang G, Liu B and Chen X (2022). Effects of low temperature stress on source–sink organs in wheat and phosphorus mitigation strategies. Frontiers in plant science, 13: 1-15.

الملخص العربي

تأثير مواعيد الزراعة وبعض المحفزات الحيوبة على نمو وإنتاجية نبات الشيا

أحمد علي حسن وإيمان جمعه عباس ورجاء علي طه

قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا.

تم تتفيذ تجربة حقلية خلال الموسمين المتتالين ٢٠٢٢/٢٠٢١ و ٢٠٢٢/٢٠٢٢ بمشتل نباتات الزينة، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا. تم تصميم التجربة بنظام القطاعات تامة العشوائية بنظام القطع المنشقة مرة واحدة في ٣ مكررات، العامل الرئيسي زراعة بذور الشيا في ١٥ أكتوبر، ١ نوفمبر، ١٥ نوفمبر، بينما العامل الثانوي رش النباتات بالأحماض الأمينية بتركيز ١ و ٢ و ٣ ملليلتر/لتر و مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية بتركيز ١٠٥ و ٣ و ٤,٥ ملليلتر/لتر، إضافة إلي معاملة الكنترول. في كلا الموسمين، تأثرت صفات ارتفاع النبات، عدد الأفرع، الأوزان الجافة للأعشاب، محصول البذور/نبات وللفدان، وكذلك إنتاجية الزيت الثابت وصبغات البناء الضوئي تأثراً معنوياً بمواعيد الزراعة وكذلك إضافة المواد المحفزة للنمو. أيضاً، كان هناك تفاعل معنوي بين معاملات العامل الرئيسي ومعاملات العامل الثانوي، بإستثناء عدد الفروع للنبات في الموسم الثاني والوزن الجاف للنبات في الكلورفيل "ب" والكاروتينوبدات في كلا الموسمين.

عموماً، تم تحقيق أفضل معاملات التداخل من خلال موعد الزراعة الأول (١٥ أكتوبر) بالاشتراك إما مع الأحماض الأمينية عند ٣ مل/لتر لارتفاع النبات ومتوسط عدد الأفرع والوزن الجاف للعشب/النبات والصبغات الضوئية الثلاثة، أو مع مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية بمعدل ١,٥ مل/لتر لإنتاجية البذور والزيت الثابتة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الشيا، مواعيد الزراعة، الأحماض الأمينية، الأعشاب البحرية.