

Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences

Print (ISSN 2535-1796) / Online (ISSN 2535-180X)



Response of White Mustard to Compost and Some Natural Extracts

Abdou M.A.H., El-Syed A.A., Taha, Ragaa A. and Mahran Amira, G.A.

Ornamental plants Department, Fac. Of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Citation: Abdou M.A.H., El-Syed A.A., Taha, Ragaa A. and Mahran Amira, G.A. (2025). Response of White Mustard to Compost and Some Natural Extracts. Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 7 (2): 20-32. https://doi.org/10.21608/sjas.2 025.423770 **Publisher :** Beni Suef University, Faculty

Beni-Suef University, Faculty of Agriculture

Received: 27 / 3 / 2024 **Accepted:** 21 / 4 / 2025

Corresponding author: Mahmoud A.H. Abdou

Email: mahmoud.abdo@mu.edu.eg

This is an open access article licensed under



This purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of compost (0, 5, 7.5 and 10 t/fed.) and some natural extracts (control, active yeast at 5 and 10 g/l, seaweed extract at 1.5 and 3 ml/l and moringa leaf extract at 10 and 20%) on growth, yield and some chemical constituents of white mustard plants during 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 at the Farm of Medicinal plants, Faculty Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt.

Data showed that all vegetative growth parameters (plant height, number of branches, and fresh weight), yield traits (number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant), fixed oil production (percent and yield per plant) and some chemical constituents (NPK% and photosynthetic contents) were significantly increased with fertilizing plants with compost relative to control during both seasons with the highest values were obtained with 10 ton compost per feddan.

All six used treatments of natural extracts considerably increased all above-mentioned parameters comparing to the control through both seasons. Seaweed extract at 3 ml/l was superior in this regard.

The best interaction treatment was to fertilizing white mustard plants with compost at 10 t/fed. and seaweed extract (3 ml/l), followed by active yeast (10 g/l).

KEYWORDS: *Sinapis alba* – active yeast – seaweed extract – moringa leaf extract – vegetative growth – yield – fixed oil.

1. INTRODUCTION

A member of the Brassicaceae family, white mustard (*Sinapis alba*, L.) is an annual plant. It might alternatively be known as *Brassica hirta* or *Brassica alba*. Although it is now commonplace globally, its origins are most likely in the Mediterranean region. Cultivated for its seeds, it can be used as green manure, fodder, or to manufacture the condiment mustard. This oilseed crop is more tolerant of drought, moisture, heat, frost, and pests than oilseed rape, among other agronomic benefits (Meher *et al.*, 2006 and Ciubota-Rosie *et al.*, 2013).

To produce clean products, the researchers go to fertilizing medicinal plants with organic fertilization and enhancing growth by spraying plants with natural extracts. Many authors in different plants concluded that compost fertilizer significantly increased all vegetative growth, yield and fixed oil production such as Pathak and Godika (2010), Vimala *et al.* (2010), Ali (2013), Toaima (2016),

Hadiyal *et al.* (2017), Beenish *et al.* (2018), Cardoso *et al.* (2019), Sari *et al.* (2020), Geremew *et al.* (2021) and Muktamar *et al.* (2024) on mustard.

Likewise, many researchers pointed out that natural extracts like active yeast, seaweed extract and moringa leaf extract have a vital role to enhance plant growth and productivity such as Toaima (2016); Abdul-Hafeez and Soliman (2020); Abusaief *et al.* (2021) and Abd-Allah *et al.* (2022) for active yeast; Mafakheri and Asghari (2018); Mohamed *et al.* (2020); Abou El-Ghait *et al.* (2021); El-Gawwas and Soliman (2023) and Galal *et al.* (2024) concerning seaweed extract and Hassan *et al.* (2019); Prakash *et al.* (2019); Ayyat *et al.* (2021); Atteya *et al.* (2021); El-Salhy *et al.* (2023) and Soliman *et al.* (2024) regarding moringa leaf extract. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the impact of compost and some natural extracts on *Sinapis alba* plants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sinapis alba, L. seeds were obtained from the Agricultural Research Center (Medical and Aromatic Plants Institutes), Giza, Egypt, and sown on 4th October of the two seasons (2022 and 2023) in the field. After three weeks of sowing dates (25th October), the plants were thinned to 4-5 plants/hill, one week later (3rd November), the plants were adjusted to two plants/hill. As shown in Table (a), the physical and chemical analysis of the used soil was carried out using the procedures Jackson (1973) outlined.

Table a. The physical and chemical analysis of the used soil in both seasons of 2022/2023 and 2023/2024.

| Soil character | Val | ues | - Soil character | Val | Values | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Son character | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | Son character | 2022/2023 | 2023/2024 | | | | |
| Physi | cal propertie | s: | Nutrients: | | | | | | |
| Sand (%) | 28.28 | 28.17 | Total N (%) | 0.68 | 0.74 | | | | |
| Silt (%) | 32.42 | 32.46 | Available P (ppm) | 19.5 | 20.0 | | | | |
| Clay (%) | 39.30 | 39.37 | Na ⁺ (mg/100 g soil) | 1.31 | 1.35 | | | | |
| Soil type | Clay loam | Clay loam | K ⁺ (mg/100 g soil) | 0.89 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Chemical prope | erties: | | DTPA-Extractable nut | rients: | | | | | |
| pH (1:2.5) | 7.87 | 7.90 | Fe (ppm) | 1.56 | 1.63 | | | | |
| E.C. (dS / m) | 1.03 | 1.08 | Cu (ppm) | 0.41 | 0.43 | | | | |
| O.M. | 1.63 | 1.75 | Zn (ppm) | 0.44 | 0.47 | | | | |
| CaCO ₃ | 3.14 | 3.35 | Mn (ppm) | 0.49 | 0.52 | | | | |

2.1. Layout of the experiment:

The study consisted of 28 distinct treatments arranged in a split plot design, featuring four main treatments (0, 5, 7. and 10 ton compost per feddan) across seven natural extracts (control, active yeast at 5 and 10 g/l, seaweed extract at 1.5 and 3 ml/l and moringa leaf extract at 10 and 20%), with three replicates for each treatment. The demission was 2.5 m length X 4.2 m width including 7 lines with 0.60 cm within rows, so the replicate demission was 11.5 m width X 4.2 m length. Each treatment unit included 1 line containing 5 hills (10 plants). The four compost treatments were

allocated in the main plots and the seven natural extracts were allocated in the sub-plots.

Compost, was utilized at the soil preparation for cultivation during both growing seasons. The outcomes of the chemical analysis of the compost can be found in Table (b).

Active yeast (5 and 10 g/l), seaweed extract (1.5 and 3 ml/l) and moringa leaf extract (10 and 20%) were used as foliar spray on 18th November and repeated 3 times with 15 days intervals (3rd and 18th December). The plants were sprayed until runoff. The other agricultural practices were done as usual.

| Properties | Value | Properties | Value |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Organic carbon (%) | 27.9 | Total P (%) | 0.6 |
| Humidity (%) | 23 | Total K (%) | 1.11 |
| Organic matter (%) | 48 | Fe (ppm) | 610 |
| C/N ratio | 15.5 | Zn (ppm) | 53 |
| рН (1:2.5) | 8.2 | Mn (ppm) | 115 |
| E.C. (mmhos/cm.) | 5.2 | Cu (ppm) | 190 |
| Total N (%) | 1.8 | | |

 Table b. Physical and chemical analysis of the used compost in both seasons of 2022/2023 and 2023/2024.

- In order to increase yeast activity, Skoog and Miller (1957) prepared a dry yeast suspension by dissolving dry yeast and sugar together (1:1, w/w) in worm water (about 35 to 37 °C). The active yeast (*Saccharomyces cervisia*) had a dry

matter of 95% and live cells of 11.6×10^9 per gram. The chemical analysis of the used active yeast in both seasons of 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 was given in Table (c).

Table c. Chemical analysis of the used active yeast in both seasons of 2022/2023 and 2023/2024.

| Protein (%) | Ash (%) | Glycogen (%) | Fat (%) | Cellulose (%) |
|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| 34.87 | 7.55 | 6.54 | 2.09 | 4.92 |

- The seaweed extract used in the experiment was under the commercial name of Agromel Royal product and was acquired from AgroChemical Company, located on Kakr ElZiat, Gharbia Governorate, Egypt. The chemical analysis of the used seaweed extract (as denoted in its label) was presented in Table d.

Table d. Chemical analysis of the used seaweed extract in both seasons of 2022/2023 and 2023/2024.

| Properties | Value | Properties | Value |
|--------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------|
| Organic matter (%) | > 50 | Total P2O5 (%) | >4 |
| Alginate (%) | >16 | Total K ₂ O (%) | > 10 |
| Amino Acids (%) | >4 | pН | 8 - 10 |
| Total N (%) | > 0.9 | Solubility in water | 100 |

2.2. Preparation of moringa leaf extract

To create an aqueous extract of moringa leaf extract, 100 g of young, fresh moringa leaves were gathered and mixed with one liter of water. suspension distilled The was homogenized for fifteen minutes using a home blender. A mutton cloth was then squeezed through the solution to filter it. Lastly, the solution was re-filtered through No. 2 Whatman filter paper (Fuglie, 2000). The extract was sprayed directly onto plants. Abdel-Rahman and AbdelKader (2020) state that the extract was sprayed within five hours of the collection and extraction process. If the extract was not yet ready for use, it was stored at 0.0 °C and removed only when it was time to utilize it. The chemical analysis of 100 g moringa fresh leaf extract was listed in Table (e).

Data recorded (in both season): plant height (cm), number of branches/plants, plant aerial parts fresh weight (g/plant), number of pods/plant, seeds yield/plant, fixed oil percentage (AOAC, 1970), fixed oil yield/plant, NPK% (ICARDA, 2013) and photosynthetic pigments (Fadl and Sari El-Deen, 1978).

2.3.Statistical analysis:

To make comparisons across treatment means easier, the data gathered for each feature was arranged into tables and statistically analyzed using MSTAT–C (1986) and the LSD test (at 0.05).

| Nutrient information | value | Nutrient information | Value | Amino acids | Value |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| Calories | 92 | Sulfur (mg) | 137 | Arginine | 402 |
| Protein (g) | 6.7 | Selenium (mg) | 0 | Histidine | 141 |
| Fat (g) | 1.7 | Zinc (mg) | 0 | Isoleucine | 422 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | 13.4 | Oxalic acid (mg) | 101 | Leucine | 623 |
| Fiber (g) | 0.9 | Vitamin A (mg) | 6.8 | Lysine | 288 |
| Calcium (mg) | 440 | Vitamin B (mg) | 423 | Methionine | 134 |
| Copper (mg) | 1.1 | Vitamin B ₁ (mg) | 0.21 | Phenylalanine | 429 |
| Iron (mg) | 7 | Vitamin B ₂ (mg) | 0.05 | Threonine | 328 |
| Potassium (mg) | 259 | Vitamin B ₃ (mg) | 0.8 | Tryptophan | 127 |
| Magnesium (gm) | 24 | Vitamin C (mg) | 220 | | |
| Phosphorus (gm) | 70 | Vitamin E (mg) | 0 | | |

|--|

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Vegetative growth traits

Fertilizing white mustard plant with the three levels of compost (5, 7.5 and 10 t/fed.) led to significant increase in plant height, number of branches per plants and aerial parts fresh weight per plant in both seasons relative to control (Table 1). The high values of the previous parameters were obtained from 10 t/fed. At the same time, there was not significant differences were observed between 7.5 and 10 ton compost per feddan.

Many researchers found that organic fertilization improved vegetative growth parameters of white mustard such as Susanti et al. (2020), Geremew et al. (2021), Sukerta et al. (2021) and Muktamar et al. (2024).

Data presented in Table (1) clarified that plant height, number of branches per plants and aerial parts fresh weight per plant of white mustard were noticeably augmented due to all used six natural extracts treatments (active yeast, seaweed extract and moringa leaf extract, each at 2 concentrations) facing the control treatment during both seasons. The best results were achieved from the treatment of seaweed extract at 3 ml/l, followed by active yeast at 10 g/l, then moringa leaf extract at 20%.

Natural extracts are effective when applied at suitable doses to plants, lead to the vigor growth improvement (Li and Ni, 1996 and Mau *et al.*, 2001).

Many researchers observed the beneficial effect of seaweed extract on growth parameters such as El-Gawwas and Soliman (2023) on *Oenothera biennis* and Galal *et al.* (2024). Also, Toaima (2016) and Abd-Allah *et* *al.* (2022) on *Sinapis alba* regarding the effect of active yeast. Likewise, El-Salhy *et al.* (2023) on olive and Soliman *et al.* (2024) on chia concerning the impact of moringa leaf extract.

For all vegetative parameters under the study, there was a substantial interaction impact between compost levels and treatments with natural extracts. The plants that were sprayed with seaweed extract (3 ml/l) and fertilized with 10 tons of compost per feddan had the greatest values overall.

3.2. Yield parameters

It is obvious from Table (2) that supplying white mustard plant with compost at 5, 7.5 and 10 t/fed. considerably increased number of pods per plants and seed yield per plant (g) facing the control in both seasons. The highest values of the previous two parameters were obtained from 10 t/fed. compost.

The positive role of organic materials on yield parameters of white mustard were reported by Pathak and Godika (2010), Ali (2013), Toaima (2016), Hadiyal *et al.* (2017).

Data listed in Table (2) pointed out that both number of pods per plants and seed yield per plant of white mustard were significantly increased due to all used six natural extracts treatments (active yeast at 5 and 10 g/l, seaweed extract 1.5 and 3 ml/l and moringa leaf extract at 10 and 20%) relative to check treatment in both seasons. The highest values of both parameters were produced from the high concentration of the three used extracts with superiority for seaweed extract.

| some na | tul al CX | ii acis u | - | | | 12/2023 | | | • | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| Natural extracts | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) |
| treatments (B) | I | First sea | son (202 | 2/2023 | | S | econd se | eason (2 | 023/202 | . , |
| | | 11.50 500 | | , | ght (cm) | | | (| 0_0/_0_ | -)• |
| Control | 198.0 | 211.5 | 221.4 | 231.3 | 215.6 | 191.4 | 213.9 | 226.5 | 239.1 | 217.7 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 207.9 | 223.2 | 230.4 | 239.4 | 225.2 | 216.3 | 231.7 | 232.5 | 230.0 | 227.6 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 213.3 | 231.3 | 233.1 | 235.8 | 228.4 | 223.7 | 228.4 | 231.6 | 245.2 | 232.2 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 213.8 | 221.9 | 228.2 | 230.9 | 223.7 | 217.9 | 227.5 | 232.3 | 237.1 | 228.7 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 225.9 | 222.3 | 232.2 | 249.3 | 232.4 | 225.4 | 233.3 | 237.4 | 250.5 | 236.7 |
| MLE (10%) | 208.4 | 220.1 | 225.5 | 236.3 | 222.6 | 208.0 | 230.2 | 227.9 | 231.7 | 224.5 |
| MLE (20%) | 207.9 | 236.7 | 225.9 | 236.7 | 226.8 | 208.3 | 232.6 | 235.7 | 239.6 | 229.1 |
| Mean (A) | 210.7 | 223.9 | 228.1 | 237.1 | | 213.0 | 228.2 | 232.0 | 239.0 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 13 | 3.1 | B: 4.0 | | B: 8.0 | A: 14 | 4.2 | B: 4.3 | А | B: 8.6 |
| Number of branches/plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 10.33 | 11.13 | 11.66 | 12.50 | 11.41 | 10.83 | 11.63 | 12.16 | 13.00 | 11.91 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 11.00 | 11.92 | 12.62 | 13.27 | 12.20 | 11.60 | 12.52 | 13.12 | 13.87 | 12.78 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 11.56 | 12.37 | 13.02 | 13.61 | 12.64 | 12.46 | 13.27 | 13.92 | 14.51 | 13.54 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 11.33 | 12.00 | 12.71 | 13.42 | 12.37 | 11.33 | 12.00 | 12.71 | 13.42 | 12.37 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 11.78 | 12.54 | 13.13 | 13.69 | 12.79 | 12.78 | 13.54 | 14.13 | 14.69 | 13.79 |
| MLE (10%) | 11.19 | 11.99 | 12.65 | 13.34 | 12.29 | 11.89 | 12.69 | 13.35 | 14.04 | 12.99 |
| MLE (20%) | 11.43 | 12.26 | 12.90 | 13.58 | 12.54 | 12.23 | 13.06 | 13.70 | 14.38 | 13.34 |
| Mean (A) | 11.23 | 12.03 | 12.67 | 13.34 | | 11.87 | 12.67 | 13.30 | 13.99 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0. | | B: 0.16 | | 8: 0.32 | A: 0. | | B: 0.25 | A | B: 0.50 |
| 0 4 1 | 40.4.0 | | erial par | | - | - | | | 505.0 | 522.0 |
| Control | 484.8 | 517.8 | 542.1 | 566.3 | 527.8 | 468.5 | 523.6 | 554.5 | 585.3 | 533.0 |
| $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{AY} (5 \mathbf{g/l}) \\ \mathbf{AY} (10 \mathbf{p}) \end{array}$ | 509.0 | 546.5 | 564.1 | 577.3 | 549.2 | 529.5 | 567.2 | 569.1 | 563.0 | 557.2 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 522.2 | 566.3 | 570.7 | 586.1 | 561.3 | 547.6 | 559.1 | 566.9 | 600.2 | 568.5 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 523.5 | 543.3 | 558.7 | 565.3 | 547.7 | 533.4 | 556.9 | 568.7 | 580.4 | 559.8 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 553.1 | 544.3 | 568.5 | 610.4 | 569.1 | 551.8 | 571.1 | 581.1 | 613.2 | 579.3 |
| MLE (10%) | 510.2 | 538.9 | 552.1 | 578.6 | 545.0 | 509.2 | 563.5 | 557.9 | 567.2 | 549.4 |
| MLE (20%) | 509.0 | 579.5 | 553.1 | 579.5 | 555.3 | 509.9 | 569.4 | 577.0 | 586.5 | 560.7 |
| Mean (A) | 516.0 | 548.1 | 558.5 D: 15.2 | 580.5 | 0.204 | 521.4 | 558.7 | 567.9 | 585.1 | 2, 226 |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 28 | 5.1 | B: 15.2 | AE | B : 30.4 | A: 3 | 0.2 | B: 16.3 | A | 3: 32.6 |

 Table 1. Response of some growth parameters of white mustard to compost fertilization and some natural extracts during both seasons (2022/2023 and 2023/2024).

The improvement role of seaweed extract on yield parameters was mentioned by Amin (2018) on sesame plant, El-Gawwas and Soliman (2023) on *Oenothera biennis* L. and Galal *et al.* (2024) on black cumin. Also, the stimulatory Impact of active yeast was reported by El-Azzony *et al.* (2018) on jatropha and Abdul-Hafeez and Soliman (2020) on *Nigella sativa*. Likewise, the supportive effect of moringa leaf extract was showed by Prakash *et*

al. (2019) on sesame, Ayyat *et al.* (2021) on black cumin and Farhat *et al.* (2023) on sunflower.

For both yield parameters under the study, there was a significant interaction effect between compost levels and treatments with natural extracts. The plants that were fertilized with 10 tons of compost per feddan and sprayed with seaweed extract (3 ml/l) had the greatest values overall.

| natural e | xtracts | during l | both seas | ons (202 | 22/2023 e | and 2023 | /2024). | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| No 4mma l | | | Comj | post fer | tilizatio | n level, † | ton/fedo | dan (A) | | |
| Natural extracts | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) |
| treatments (B) | F | first sea | nson (202 | 22/2023 | 5). | S | econd s | eason (2 | 023/2024 | 4). |
| Number of pods per plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 653.9 | 704.6 | 738.1 | 791.3 | 722.0 | 747.9 | 803.2 | 839.8 | 897.8 | 822.2 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 696.4 | 754.6 | 798.9 | 840.1 | 772.5 | 801.1 | 864.6 | 906.1 | 957.9 | 882.4 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 731.8 | 783.1 | 824.2 | 861.6 | 800.2 | 860.5 | 916.4 | 961.3 | 1002.1 | 935.1 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 717.2 | 759.7 | 804.6 | 849.6 | 782.8 | 782.4 | 828.7 | 877.8 | 926.8 | 853.9 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 745.7 | 793.8 | 831.2 | 866.7 | 809.4 | 882.6 | 935.1 | 975.8 | 1014.5 | 952.0 |
| MLE (10%) | 708.4 | 759.0 | 800.8 | 844.5 | 778.2 | 821.1 | 876.4 | 922.0 | 969.6 | 897.3 |
| MLE (20%) | 723.6 | 776.1 | 816.6 | 859.7 | 794.0 | 844.6 | 901.9 | 946.1 | 993.1 | 921.4 |
| Mean (A) | 711.0 | 761.6 | 802.1 | 844.8 | | 820.0 | 875.2 | 918.4 | 966.0 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 44 | 1.3 | B: 15.4 | AE | 3 : 30.8 | A: 49 | 9.1 | B: 30.6 | 6 AB | B : 61.2 |
| | | | See | ed yield | (g/plan | t) | | | | |
| Control | 21.59 | 31.74 | 37.26 | 43.09 | 33.42 | 22.26 | 32.72 | 38.41 | 44.92 | 34.58 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 26.51 | 36.23 | 40.65 | 55.79 | 39.80 | 26.34 | 38.42 | 42.98 | 58.98 | 41.68 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 28.19 | 47.91 | 60.01 | 85.47 | 55.40 | 29.06 | 49.39 | 61.87 | 88.51 | 57.21 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 35.27 | 51.50 | 56.21 | 62.87 | 51.46 | 36.36 | 53.09 | 57.95 | 65.21 | 53.15 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 40.29 | 57.62 | 61.35 | 86.87 | 61.53 | 41.54 | 59.40 | 63.25 | 89.96 | 63.54 |
| MLE (10%) | 30.23 | 42.02 | 44.16 | 49.00 | 41.35 | 31.17 | 43.32 | 45.53 | 50.92 | 42.74 |
| MLE (20%) | 34.78 | 53.58 | 58.99 | 71.99 | 54.84 | 35.86 | 55.24 | 60.81 | 74.62 | 56.63 |
| Mean (A) | 30.98 | 45.80 | 51.23 | 65.01 | | 31.80 | 47.37 | 52.97 | 67.59 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 13 | .71 | B: 6.1 | AE | B: 12.2 | A: 14 | .51 | B: 6.33 | B AB | : 12.66 |

 Table 2. Response of some yield parameters of white mustard to compost fertilization and some natural extracts during both seasons (2022/2023 and 2023/2024).

3.3. Fixed oil production

Data listed in Table (3) indicated that applying white mustard plant with compost at any used level markedly increased fixed oil (%) and fixed oil yield per plant (g) facing the control in both seasons. The treatment of 10 t/fed. compost produced the highest values of the previous two parameters.

The beneficial impact of organic materials on fixed oil productivity of white mustard were mentioned by Ali (2013) and Toaima (2016).

Data presented in Table (3) revealed that all used six natural extracts treatments (active yeast at 5 and 10 g/l, seaweed extract 1.5 and 3 ml/l and moringa leaf extract at 10 and 20%) significantly increased fixed oil (%) and fixed oil yield per plant (g) of white mustard compared to check treatment in both seasons. The highest values of both parameters were produced from the high concentration of the three used extracts with superiority for seaweed extract. The stimulatory role of seaweed extract on fixed oil production was mentioned by Zouari *et al.* (2020) on olive, El-Gawwas and Soliman (2023) on *Oenothera biennis* L. and Galal *et al.* (2024) on black cumin. Also, the improvement Impact of active yeast was reported by Toaima (2016) and Abd-Allah *et al.* (2022) on white mustard. Likewise, the supportive effect of moringa leaf extract was showed by Atteya *et al.* (2021) on jojoba, El-Salhy *et al.* (2023) on olive and Soliman *et al.* (2024) on chia.

The interaction effect between compost levels and some natural extracts treatments on fixed oil production was significant in both seasons. The plants that were fertilized with 10 tons of compost per feddan and sprayed with seaweed extract (3 ml/l) produced the greatest values overall.

| natura | CAHUCI | 5 uur me | <u>com</u> | | ilization | | , | on (A) | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|-----------------|
| Natural extracts | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) |
| treatments (B) | I | First sea | ason (202 | 2/2023) |). | S | econd s | eason (2 | 023/202 | 4). |
| | | | | Fixed o | il (%) | | | | | |
| Control | 13.05 | 14.85 | 15.75 | 18.45 | 15.53 | 13.50 | 15.30 | 16.20 | 18.90 | 15.98 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 13.95 | 16.65 | 22.50 | 25.65 | 19.69 | 14.40 | 17.10 | 23.40 | 26.55 | 20.36 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 19.35 | 22.50 | 24.75 | 28.35 | 23.74 | 19.80 | 23.40 | 25.65 | 29.25 | 24.53 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 18.00 | 18.90 | 22.50 | 24.30 | 20.93 | 18.45 | 19.35 | 23.40 | 25.20 | 21.60 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 26.10 | 27.45 | 28.80 | 31.50 | 28.46 | 27.00 | 28.35 | 29.70 | 32.40 | 29.36 |
| MLE (10%) | 15.30 | 20.25 | 23.40 | 24.30 | 20.81 | 15.75 | 20.70 | 24.30 | 25.20 | 21.49 |
| MLE (20%) | 17.10 | 21.15 | 22.50 | 24.30 | 21.26 | 17.55 | 21.60 | 23.40 | 25.20 | 21.94 |
| Mean (A) | 17.55 | 20.25 | 22.89 | 25.26 | | 18.06 | 20.83 | 23.72 | 26.10 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 2. | 01 | B: 1.96 | AB | B : 3.92 | A: 2. | 38 | B: 1.99 | AI | 3 : 3.98 |
| | | | Fixed | l oil yie | ld (g/pla | nnt) | | | | |
| Control | 2.82 | 4.71 | 5.87 | 7.95 | 5.34 | 3.01 | 5.01 | 6.22 | 8.49 | 5.68 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 3.70 | 6.03 | 9.15 | 14.31 | 8.30 | 3.79 | 6.57 | 10.06 | 15.66 | 9.02 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 5.45 | 10.78 | 14.85 | 24.23 | 13.83 | 5.75 | 11.56 | 15.87 | 25.89 | 14.77 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 6.35 | 9.73 | 12.65 | 15.28 | 11.00 | 6.71 | 10.27 | 13.56 | 16.43 | 11.74 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 10.52 | 15.82 | 17.67 | 27.36 | 17.84 | 11.22 | 16.84 | 18.79 | 29.15 | 19.00 |
| MLE (10%) | 4.63 | 8.51 | 10.33 | 11.91 | 8.84 | 4.91 | 8.97 | 11.06 | 12.83 | 9.44 |
| MLE (20%) | 5.95 | 11.33 | 13.27 | 17.49 | 12.01 | 6.29 | 11.93 | 14.23 | 18.80 | 12.81 |
| Mean (A) | 5.63 | 9.56 | 11.97 | 16.93 | | 5.95 | 10.16 | 12.83 | 18.18 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 2. | 39 | B: 2.02 | AB | B : 4.04 | A: 2. | 67 | B: 2.33 | AI | 3: 4.66 |

 Table 3. Response of fixed oil production of white mustard to compost fertilization and some natural extracts during both seasons (2022/2023 and 2023/2024).

3.4. Chemical constituents

Data listed in Tables (4 and 5) provided that supplying white mustard plant with compost at any used of the three levels considerably increased NPK% and photosynthetic pigments (mg/g fresh weight) relative to the control in both seasons. The treatment of 10 t/fed. compost produced the highest values of the previous two parameters.

The stimulating effect of organic fertilizers on some chemical constituents of white mustard were reported by Chung and Wang (2000) and Geremew *et al.* (2021).

As can be seen from data presented in Tables (4 and 5) indicated that NPK% and photosynthetic pigments (mg/g fresh weight) were significantly improved due to all used six natural extracts treatments relative to control treatment in both seasons. The highest values of both parameters were produced from the high concentration of the three used extracts with superiority for seaweed extract.

The stimulatory role of seaweed extract on photosynthetic pigments and NPK% was mentioned by Abou El-Ghait *et al.* (2021) on chia, El-Gawwas and Soliman (2023) on *Oenothera biennis* L. and Galal *et al.* (2024) on black cumin. Likewise, the improvement Impact of active yeast was reported by Abd-Allah *et al.* (2022) on white mustard, Mahmoud *et al.* (2023) on lemongrass. Also, the supportive effect of moringa leaf extract was showed by Atteya *et al.* (2021) on jojoba, Farhat *et al.* (2023) on sunflower and Soliman *et al.* (2024) on chia.

The interaction effect between compost levels and some natural extracts treatments on NPK% and photosynthetic pigments was significant in both seasons. The greatest values overall were achieved from plants that were fertilized with 10 tons/fed. of compost and sprayed with seaweed extract (3 ml/l).

| 2023/20 | 27). | | Comp | ost fert | ilization | level, t | on/fedd | lan (A) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-------------|--|
| Natural extracts treatments (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean (B) | |
| ti catilients (D) | ŀ | First sea | ason (202 | 22/2023 |). | Se | econd s | eason (2 | 023/202 | (4). | |
| | | | lorophy | | | g/g FW) | | | | | |
| Control | 3.005 | 3.155 | 3.320 | 3.355 | 3.209 | 3.014 | 3.164 | 3.330 | 3.365 | 3.218 | |
| AY (5 g/l) | 3.018 | 3.165 | 3.334 | 3.365 | 3.221 | 3.027 | 3.174 | 3.344 | 3.375 | 3.230 | |
| AY (10 g/l) | 3.190 | 3.248 | 3.315 | 3.345 | 3.275 | 3.200 | 3.258 | 3.325 | 3.355 | 3.284 | |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 3.165 | 3.230 | 3.299 | 3.321 | 3.254 | 3.174 | 3.240 | 3.309 | 3.331 | 3.264 | |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 3.229 | 3.275 | 3.342 | 3.395 | 3.310 | 3.239 | 3.285 | 3.352 | 3.405 | 3.320 | |
| MLE (10%) | 3.045 | 3.195 | 3.361 | 3.378 | 3.245 | 3.054 | 3.205 | 3.371 | 3.388 | 3.254 | |
| MLE (20%) | 3.185 | 3.241 | 3.301 | 3.332 | 3.265 | 3.195 | 3.251 | 3.311 | 3.342 | 3.275 | |
| Mean (A) | 3.120 | 3.216 | 3.325 | 3.356 | | 3.129 | 3.225 | 3.335 | 3.366 | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.0 | | B: 0.005 | | : 0.010 | A: 0.0 | | B: 0.00 | 6 AB | : 0.012 | |
| Chlorophyll b content (mg/g FW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 0.982 | 1.032 | 1.087 | 1.098 | 1.050 | 0.985 | 1.035 | 1.090 | 1.102 | 1.053 | |
| AY (5 g/l) | 0.986 | 1.035 | 1.091 | 1.102 | 1.054 | 0.989 | 1.038 | 1.095 | 1.105 | 1.057 | |
| AY (10 g/l) | 1.043 | 1.063 | 1.085 | 1.095 | 1.072 | 1.046 | 1.066 | 1.088 | 1.098 | 1.075 | |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 1.035 | 1.057 | 1.080 | 1.087 | 1.065 | 1.038 | 1.060 | 1.083 | 1.090 | 1.068 | |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 1.056 | 1.072 | 1.094 | 1.112 | 1.083 | 1.060 | 1.075 | 1.097 | 1.115 | 1.087 | |
| MLE (10%) | 0.995 | 1.045 | 1.100 | 1.106 | 1.062 | 0.998 | 1.048 | 1.104 | 1.109 | 1.065 | |
| MLE (20%) | 1.042 | 1.060 | 1.080 | 1.091 | 1.068 | 1.045 | 1.064 | 1.084 | 1.094 | 1.071 | |
| Mean (A) | 1.020 | 1.052 | 1.088 | 1.099 | | 1.023 | 1.055 | 1.091 | 1.102 | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.0 | | B: 0.003 | | : 0.006 | A: 0.0 | 005 | B: 0.004 | 4 AB | : 0.008 | |
| ~ | | | arotenoi | | , U | Ŭ ź | | | | | |
| Control | 1.032 | 1.082 | 1.137 | 1.148 | 1.100 | 1.036 | 1.086 | 1.141 | 1.153 | 1.104 | |
| AY (5 g/l) | 1.036 | 1.085 | 1.141 | 1.152 | 1.104 | 1.040 | 1.089 | 1.146 | 1.156 | 1.108 | |
| AY (10 g/l) | 1.093 | 1.113 | 1.135 | 1.145 | 1.122 | 1.098 | 1.117 | 1.140 | 1.150 | 1.126 | |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 1.085 | 1.107 | 1.130 | 1.137 | 1.115 | 1.089 | 1.111 | 1.134 | 1.142 | 1.119 | |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 1.106 | 1.122 | 1.144 | 1.162 | 1.133 | 1.111 | 1.126 | 1.149 | 1.166 | 1.138 | |
| MLE (10%) | 1.045 | 1.095 | 1.150 | 1.156 | 1.112 | 1.049 | 1.099 | 1.155 | 1.161 | 1.116 | |
| MLE (20%) | 1.092 | 1.110 | 1.130 | 1.141 | 1.118 | 1.096 | 1.115 | 1.135 | 1.145 | 1.123 | |
| Mean (A) | 1.070 | 1.102 | 1.138 | 1.149 | 0.000 | 1.074 | 1.106 | 1.143 | 1.153 | 0.010 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.0 | | B: 0.004 | | : 0.008 | A: 0.0 | | B: 0.00 | 5 AB | : 0.010 | |

Table 4. Response of photosynthetic pigments (mg/g FW) of white mustard to compost fertilization and some natural extracts during both seasons (2022/2023 and 2023/2024).

4. DISCUSSIONS

It is noticed from our data that all used compost levels as organic fertilizer significantly increased all previously studied growth traits, yield parameters, fixed oil productivity and some chemical constituents.

These results may be due to the organic materials increased the vegetative growth through improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil (Ryckeboer *et al.*, 2003 and Sukerta *et al.*, 2021), which,

consequently reflected in increasing yield and oil production.

Natural extracts like active yeast, seaweed extract and moringa leaf extract have a vital role to enhance plant growth and productivity may be due to its all components or any one of its components (Tables c, d and e) (Bhaskar and Miyashita, 2005 and Massoud *et al.*, 2017). So, to produce clean products, according to our data, it could be advised to fertilize white mustard plants with compost and sprayed with one of used the natural extracts, specially, seaweed extract.

| 2023/2024). | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|------------|
| Natural | | | Comp | ost fert | ilization | level, t | on/fedd | lan (A) | | |
| extracts | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | Mean |
| treatments (B) | 0.0 | 5 | 7.5 | 10 | (B) | 0.0 | 3 | 1.5 | 10 | (B) |
| treatments (D) | I | First se | ason (202 | 2/2023 |). | Se | econd s | eason (2 | 023/202 | 24). |
| | | | N | litroge | n (%) | | | | | |
| Control | 0.90 | 1.02 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.05 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.13 | 1.30 | 1.12 | 1.01 | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.14 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 1.20 | 1.33 | 1.43 | 1.52 | 1.37 | 1.21 | 1.34 | 1.44 | 1.53 | 1.38 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.22 | 1.38 | 1.21 | 1.09 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.40 | 1.22 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 1.28 | 1.39 | 1.53 | 1.64 | 1.46 | 1.29 | 1.40 | 1.55 | 1.66 | 1.48 |
| MLE (10%) | 1.04 | 1.11 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.16 | 1.06 | 1.13 | 1.20 | 1.35 | 1.19 |
| MLE (20%) | 1.13 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.42 | 1.26 | 1.14 | 1.22 | 1.30 | 1.49 | 1.29 |
| Mean (A) | 1.09 | 1.18 | 1.27 | 1.39 | | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.28 | 1.41 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0. | 08 | B: 0.05 | AE | B : 0.10 | A: 0. | .09 | B: 0.06 | A | B: 0.12 |
| Phosphorus (%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.17 |
| MLE (10%) | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| MLE (20%) | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| Mean (A) | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.14 | | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0. | 02 | B: 0.01 | | B: 0.02 | A: 0. | .02 | B: 0.01 | A | B: 0.02 |
| | | | | otassiu | | | | | | |
| Control | 1.71 | 1.87 | 1.96 | 2.03 | 1.89 | 1.79 | 1.95 | 2.05 | 2.12 | 1.98 |
| AY (5 g/l) | 1.91 | 2.02 | 2.14 | 2.17 | 2.06 | 2.01 | 2.12 | 2.25 | 2.28 | 2.16 |
| AY (10 g/l) | 2.31 | 2.40 | 2.49 | 2.58 | 2.45 | 2.43 | 2.52 | 2.61 | 2.71 | 2.57 |
| SAE (1.5 ml/l) | 2.14 | 2.15 | 2.27 | 2.40 | 2.24 | 2.21 | 2.23 | 2.35 | 2.48 | 2.32 |
| SAE (3 ml/l) | 2.42 | 2.53 | 2.64 | 2.76 | 2.59 | 2.54 | 2.66 | 2.77 | 2.90 | 2.72 |
| MLE (10%) | 2.01 | 2.12 | 2.24 | 2.38 | 2.19 | 2.07 | 2.18 | 2.31 | 2.45 | 2.25 |
| MLE (20%) | 2.23 | 2.32 | 2.41 | 2.51 | 2.37 | 2.34 | 2.43 | 2.53 | 2.63 | 2.48 |
| Mean (A) | 2.10 | 2.20 | 2.31 | 2.40 | | 2.20 | 2.30 | 2.41 | 2.51 | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0. | 09 | B: 0.05 | AE | B: 0.10 | A: 0. | .10 | B: 0.06 | A | B: 0.12 |

Table 5. Response of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (%) of white mustard to compost
fertilization and some natural extracts during both seasons (2022/2023 and
2023/2024).

5. REFERENCES

- Abd-Allah W, Khater R and Hashem H (2022). Response of white mustard (*Sinapis alba* L.) plant to foliar spraying by some antioxidants and yeast under Sinai conditions. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences, 12 (3): 229-241.
- Abdel-Rahman SSA and Abdel-Kader AAS (2020). Response of Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill) plants to foliar application of moringa leaf extract and benzyladenine (BA). South African Journal of Botany, 129: 113-122.

Abdul-Hafeez E and Soliman TMA (2020). Response of *Nigella sativa* L. growth and oil yield to foliar application of dry yeast, salicylic acid and ascorbic acid. Scientific Journal of Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 7 (4): 393-400.

- https://10.21608/sjfop.2020.124370
- Abou El-Ghait EM, Abd Al Dayem HMM, Mohamed YFY and Khalifa YIH (2021). Influence of some biostimulants and chemical fertilizers on growth, seed yield, chemical constituents, oil productivity and fixed oil content of chia

(*Salvia hispanica* L.) plant under Aswan conditions. Scientific J. Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 8 (4): 411-425. https://10.21608/sjfop.2021.211814

- Abusaief HM, Abugarsa SA, Al-Naby MM and Abdul-Qader AT (2021). Effect of soil type and organic farming on jojoba growth. Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, 22 (63): 73-86. https://www.researchgate.net/publicatio n/356218545
- MAM (2013). Effect of Chemical Ali Chicken Manure Fertilizers, and Bacteria Inoculation Treatments on Productivity of Brassica alba, L. Plants North under Sinai Conditions. Hortscience Journal of Suez Canal University, 1 1-13. (1): https://10.21608/HJSC.2013.60248
- Amin MA (2018). Comparative studies on growth, metabolism and yield of sesame plant by using seaweed, plant extracts and some growth regulators. Al-Azhar Bulletin of Science, 29 (1-C): 19-28.
- AOAC (1970). Official Methods of Analysis, 11th Ed. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C., USA. 1015 p.
- Atteya AK, Sami R, Al-Mushhin AA, Ismail KA and Genaidy EA (2021). Response of seeds, oil yield and fatty acids percentage of jojoba Shrub strain EAI to mycorrhizal fungi and moringa leaves extract. Horticulturae, 7 (10), 395. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae710</u> 0395
- Ayyat AM, Kenawy AGM, Aboel-Ainin MA and Abdel-Mola MAM (2021). Improving growth, productivity and oil yield of *Nigella sativa*, L. plants by foliar spraying with some stimulants. Journal of Plant Production, 12 (3): 339-344. https://10.21608/jpp.2021.69108.1024
- Beenish O, Ahmad L, Hussain A and Lal EP (2018). Organic manure and biofertlizers: effect on the growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Varieties. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 30 (4): 1-7.
- Bhaskar N and Miyashita K (2005). Lipid composition of *Padina tetratomatica* (*Dictyotales pheophyta*), a brown

seaweed of the West Coast of India. Ind. J. Fisheries., 52 (3): 263-268.

- Cardoso F, Gomes DCBB and Viegas E (2019). The influence of different types of manure and planting distance towards the growth and development of plants white mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). International Journal of Development Research, 9 (1): 25236-25245.
- Chung RS and Wang FN (2000). Effect of different composts on growth and nitrogen composition of Chinese mustard in acid red an soil. Communications in soil science and plant analysis, 31 (9-10): 1209-1224. https://doi.org/10.1080/0010362000937 0508
- Ciubota-Rosie C, Macoveanu M, Fernández CM, Ramos MJ, Pérez A and Moreno A (2013). *Sinapis alba* seed as a prospective biodiesel source. Biomass Bioenergy, 51: 83-90.
- El-Azzony EAA, El-Mogy EEAM and El-Shaip NSA (2018). Response of *Jatropha curcas* L. shrubs to complete (NPK) fertilizer, bio and organic fertilizers under sandy soil conditions. Scientific Journal of Flowers and Ornamental Plants, 5 (3): 245-259.
- El-Gawwas EO and Soliman MM (2023). Effect of organic and biostimulants on yield and quality of evening primrose oil (*Oenothera biennis* L.). Journal of Plant and Food Sciences, 1 (2): 31-44.
- El-Salhy AFM, El-Sese A, El-Sayed ME and Hamam S (2023). Effects of GA3 and plant extracts spraying on Aggezi olive tree growth and fruiting in Sohag governorate, Egypt. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54 (3): 135-144. https://10.21608/ajas.2023.213813.1262
- Fadl MS and Sari El-Deen SA (1978). Effect of N-benzyaladenine on photosynthetic pigments and total soluble sugars of olive seedlings grown under saline conditions. Res. Bull. Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 843.
- Farhat F, Ashaq N, Noman A, Aqeel M, Raja S, Naheed R, Maqsood MF, Haider I and Tariq A (2023). Exogenous application of moringa leaf extract

confers salinity tolerance in sunflower by concerted regulation of antioxidants and secondary metabolites. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 23 (3): 3806-3822.

- **Fuglie LJ (2000)**. The Miracle Tree: Moringa oleifera: Natural Nutrition for the Tropics. The Multiple Attributes of Moringa. p. 172.
- Galal R, Hafez YA, Dorgham HNA and Ayyat AM (2024). Response of black cumin (*Nigella Sativa* L.) plants to some bio-stimulants treatments on growth, Production and Chemical Components. Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 6 (4): 57-71. https://10.21608/sjas.2024.334961.1478
- Geremew A, Carson L, Woldesenbet S, Carpenter C, Peace E and Weerasooriya A (2021). Interactive effects of organic fertilizers and drought stress on growth and nutrient content of *Brassica juncea* at vegetative stage. Sustainability, 13 (24): 13948. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413948
- Hadiyal JG, Kachhadiya SP, Ichchhuda PK and Kalsaria RN (2017). Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to different levels of organic manures and bio-fertilizers. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 6 (4): 873-875.
- Hassan AM, Abd-Alhamid N, Aly RB and Hassan HSA (2019). Effect of foliar application with algae and moringa leaves extracts on vegetative growth, leaf mineral contents, yield and chemical fruit quality of picual olive trees. Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 27 (1): 659-671. https://10.21608/ajs.2019.43679
- ICARDA, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (2013). Methods of soil, plant and water analysis: A manual for the West Asia and North Africa region. Third edition, ed. George Estefan, Rolf Sommer and John Ryan. Beirut, Lebanon.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall., Engle Wood Cliffs, N.T.J.

- Li WJ and Ni YZ (1996). Researches on application of microbial inoculant in crop production. In: Researches and application of En technology, Agriculture University Press, Beijing, China: 42-84.
- Mafakheri S and Asghari B (2018). Effect of seaweed extract, humic acid and chemical fertilizers on morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics of *Trigonella foenumgraecum* L. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 20 (7): 1505-1516. https://20 1001 1 16807073 2018 20 7 2

https://20.1001.1.16807073.2018.20.7.2 .6

- Mahmoud N, Abdou MA, Salaheldin S, Soliman WS and Abbas AM (2023). The impact of irrigation intervals and NPK/yeast on the vegetative growth characteristics and essential oil content of lemongrass. Horticulturae, 9 (3): 365. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae903</u> 0365
- Mau JL, Chao GR and Wu KT (2001). Antioxidant properties of methanolic extracts from several ear mushrooms. J. Agric. Food Chem., 49: 5461-5467.
- Meher LC, Sagar VD and Naik SN (2006). Technical aspects of biodiesel production by transesterification - a review. Renew. Sust. Energy Rev., 10: 248-268.
- Mohamed AS, Hashim HMA, Abbas MS and Soliman ShA (2024).Improving vegetative growth of transplants of two olive cultivars by foliar application of some organic extracts and chemical fertilizers. Horticulture Research Journal. 2 32-45, (3): https://10.21608/hrj.2024.378921
- MSTAT-C (1986). A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Muktamar Z, Zanovid V, Hermawan B, Utami K and Setyowati N (2024). The availability of soil N, P, K, and mustard yield after the application of liquid organic fertilizer from household waste in Ultisols. International Journal of Plant

& Soil Science, 36 (5): 135-142. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i5 4510

- Pathak AK and Godika S (2010). Effect of organic fertilizers, biofertilizers, antagonists and nutritional supplements on yield and disease incidence in Indian mustard in arid soil. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 80 (7): 652-654.
- Prakash M, Narayanan GS, Anandan R and KUmar BS (2019). Effect of organic seed treatment and foliar spray on growth, yield and resultant seed quality in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). The Indian Society of Oilseeds Research, 36 (1): 30-35.
- Ryckeboer J, Mergaert J, Vaes K, Klammer S, De Clercq D, Coosemans J, Insam H and Swings J (2003). A survey of bacteria and fungi occurring during composting and self-heating processes. Annals of microbiology, 53 (4): 349-410.
- Sari IP, Hidayati S, Ali M and Purwanti S (2020). Application of urban waste organic fertilizer on the growth of mustard plants (*Brassica Juncea* L.). Agricultural Science, 4 (1): 74-84.
- Skoog F and Miller CO (1957). Chemical regulation of growth and organ formation in plant tissue cultures in vitro. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol., 11: 118– 131.
- Soliman WS, Hendawy SF, Abbas AM, Salaheldin S and Esmail SM (2024). Bio-stimulants as alternatives to mineral fertilizers: influence on chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) growth, yield, and fatty

acid composition. Notulae Botanicae Horti. Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca, 52 (2): 13445-13445.

https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha52213445

- Sukerta IM, Pratiwi NPE and Ananda KD (2021). The effectiveness of organic fertilization in improving the physical properties of soil. Scientific Research Journal, 9 (9): 48-56. http://dx.doi.org/10.31364/SCIRJ/v9.i0 9.2021.P0921882
- Susanti R, Astri A and Harahap FS (2020). Crop production growth response green mustard (*Brassica juncea* L) against granting urea fertilizer and manure goat on overseas land Ultisol in District South. International Journal of Science, Technology & Management, 1 (3): 155-161.
- Toaima WI (2016). Effect of organic fertilizer and dry yeast on *Sinapis alba* L. plant under Sinai conditions. Egyptian Journal of Desert Research, 66 (2): 251-266. https://10.21608/ejdr.2016.6040
- Vimala P, Roff MN, Shokri OA and Lim AH (2010). Effect of organic fertilizer on the yield and nutrient content of leafmustard (*Brassica juncea*) organically grown under shelter. J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc., 38 (2): 153-160.
- Zouari I, Mechri B, Tekaya M, Dabbaghi O, Cheraief I, Mguidiche A, Annabi K, Laabidi F, Attia F, Hammami M and Mezghani MA (2020). Olive oil quality influenced by biostimulant foliar fertilizers. Brazilian Journal of Sciences, 7 Biological (15): 3-18. https://doi.org/10.21472/bjbs(2020)071 501

الملخص العربى

استجابة الخردل الأبيض للكمبوست ويعض المستخلصات الطبيعية

محمود عبدالهادي حسن عبده ، أحمد عبدالمنعم السيد، رجاء على طه، أميرة جمال على مهران

قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا.

كان الغرض من هذه التجربة هو تقييم تأثير السماد العضوي بالكمبوست (٥، ٥، ٥، ٥ و ١٠ طن / فدان) وبعض المستخلصات الطبيعية (الكنترول، الخميرة النشطة بمعدل ٥ و ١٠ جم / لتر، مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية بمعدل ٥.١ و ٣ مل / لتر ومستخلص أوراق المورينجا بمعدل ١٠ و ٢٠٪) على النمو والمحصول وإنتاجية الزيت الثابت وبعض المكونات الكيميائية لنباتات الخردل الأبيض خلال عامي ٢٠٢٣/٢٠٢٢ و ٢٠٢٤/٢٠٢٣ في مزرعة النباتات الطبية بكلية الزراعة جامعة المنيا، مصر. أظهرت البيانات أن جميع صفات النمو الخضري المدروسة (ارتفاع النبات، وعدد الفروع للنبات، والوزن الطازج للنبات)، والصفات المحصولية (عدد القرون لكل نبات ومحصول البذور لكل نبات)، وإنتاج الزيت الثابت (النسبة المئوية للزيت ومحصول الزيت للنبات) والصفات وبعض المكونات الكيميائية (NPK% وصبغات التمثيل الضوئي) قد زادت بشكل معنوي مع تسميد النباتات بالكمبوست مقارنة بالكنترول خلال كلا الموسمين، حيث تم الحصول على أعلى القيم عند ١٠ أطنان من السماد العضوي للغدان.

خلال كلا الموسمين. وكان مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية بتركيز ٣ مل/لتر متفوقًا في هذا الصدد. كانت أفضل معاملة تفاعل هي تسميد نباتات الخردل الأبيض بالسماد العضوي بتركيز ١٠ أطنان/فدان ومستخلص الأعشاب البحرية

بمعدل (٣ مل/لتر)، يليه مع الخميرة النشطة بتركيز (١٠ جم/لتر).

الكلمات المفتاحية: سينابيس ألبا – الخميرة النشطة – مستخلص الأعشاب البحرية – مستخلص أوراق المورينجا – النمو الخضري – المحصول – الزيت الثابت.